



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification⁵ : G01D 18/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/22625 (43) International Publication Date: 11 November 1993 (11.11.93)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/FI93/00192 (22) International Filing Date: 5 May 1993 (05.05.93) (30) Priority data: 922031 5 May 1992 (05.05.92) FI (71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: LANGE, Antti, Aarne, Ilmari [FI/FI]; Liisankatu 15 A 10, FIN-00170 Helsinki 17 (FI). (81) Designated States: FI, JP, KR, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).		Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>With amended claims and statement.</i>
(54) Title: METHOD FOR FAST KALMAN FILTERING IN LARGE DYNAMIC SYSTEMS (57) Abstract The invention is based on the use of the principles of Lange's Fast Kalman Filtering (FKF) for large process control, prediction or warning systems where other computing methods are either too slow or fail because of truncation errors. The invented method makes it possible to exploit the FKF method for dynamic multiparameter systems that are governed by partial differential equations.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

METHOD FOR FAST KALMAN FILTERING IN LARGE DYNAMIC SYSTEMS

Technical Field

This invention relates generally to all practical applications of the Kalman Filter and more particularly to large dynamical systems with a special need for fast, computationally stable and accurate results.

Background Art

Prior to explaining the invention, it will be helpful to first understand the prior art of both the Kalman Filter (KF) and the Fast Kalman Filter (FKFTM) for calibrating a sensor system (WO 90/13794). The underlying *Markov* process is described by the equations from (1) to (3). The first equation tells how a *measurement* vector y_t depends on the *state* vector s_t at timepoint t , ($t=0,1,2\dots$). This is the linearized *Measurement* (or *observation*) equation:

$$y_t = H_t s_t + e_t \quad (1)$$

The design matrix H_t is typically composed of the partial derivatives of the actual Measurement equations. The second equation describes the time evolution of e.g. a weather balloon flight and is the *System* (or *state*) equation:

$$s_t = s_{t-1} + u_{t-1} + a_t \quad (2)$$

(or, $s_t = A s_{t-1} + B u_{t-1} + a_t$ more generally)

which tells how the balloon position is composed of its previous position s_{t-1} as well as of increments u_{t-1} and a_t . These increments are typically caused by a known *uniform motion* and an unknown random *acceleration*, respectively.

The measurement errors, the acceleration term and the previous position usually are mutually uncorrelated and are briefly described here by the following covariance matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{e_t} &= \text{Cov}(e_t) = E(e_t e_t') \\ R_{a_t} &= \text{Cov}(a_t) = E(a_t a_t') \\ &\text{and} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$P_{t-1} = \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_{t-1}) = E\left\{(\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1})(\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1})'\right\}$$

The *Kalman forward recursion formulae* give us the best linear unbiased estimates of the present *state*

$$\hat{s}_t = \hat{s}_{t-1} + u_{t-1} + K_t \{y_t - H_t(\hat{s}_{t-1} + u_{t-1})\} \quad (4)$$

and its covariance matrix

$$P_t = \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t) = P_{t-1} - K_t H_t' P_{t-1} \quad (5)$$

where the *Kalman gain matrix* K_t is defined by

$$K_t = (P_{t-1} + R_{a_t}) H_t' \{H_t (P_{t-1} + R_{a_t}) H_t' + R_{e_t}\}^{-1} \quad (6)$$

Let us now partition the estimated *state* vector \hat{s}_t and its covariance matrix P_t as follows:

$$\hat{s}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}_t \\ \hat{c}_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_t = \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{b_t} & \text{Cov}(\hat{b}_t, \hat{c}_t) \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{c}_t, \hat{b}_t) & P_{c_t} \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where \hat{b}_t tells us the estimated balloon position; and, \hat{c}_t the estimated calibration parameters.

The respective partitioning of the other quantities will then be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_t &= [H_{b_t} \ H_{c_t}] = [X_t \ G_t], \quad u_t = \begin{bmatrix} u_{b_t} \\ u_{c_t} \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_t = \begin{bmatrix} a_{b_t} \\ a_{c_t} \end{bmatrix}, \\ &\text{and,} \\ R_{a_t} &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{a_{b_t}} & \text{Cov}(a_{b_t}, a_{c_t}) \\ \text{Cov}(a_{c_t}, a_{b_t}) & R_{a_{c_t}} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The recursion formulae from (4) to (6) gives us now a **filtered** (based on updated calibration parameters) position vector

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}}_t = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{b}_{t-1}} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{b}_t} \left\{ \mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t (\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) \right\} \quad (9)$$

and the updated calibration parameter vector

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_t = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{c}_{t-1}} + \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{c}_t} \left\{ \mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{H}_t (\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) \right\} \quad (10)$$

The Kalman gain matrices are respectively

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{b}_t} = (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{b}_{t-1}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{b}_t}}) \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{b}_t}' \left\{ \mathbf{H}_t (\mathbf{P}_{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}_t}) \mathbf{H}_t' + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}_t} \right\}^{-1} + \dots$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{c}_t} = (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{c}_{t-1}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{c}_t}}) \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{c}_t}' \left\{ \mathbf{H}_t (\mathbf{P}_{t-1} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}_t}) \mathbf{H}_t' + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}_t} \right\}^{-1} + \dots \quad (11)$$

The following modified form of the general *State equation* is introduced

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{t-1} = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{s}_t + \mathbf{A} (\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{s}_{t-1}) - \mathbf{a}_t \quad (12)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ represents an estimated value of a *state* vector \mathbf{s} . Combine it with the *Measurement equation* (1) in order to obtain so-called **Augmented Model**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_t \\ \hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_t \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_t + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_t \\ \mathbf{A} (\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{s}_{t-1}) - \mathbf{a}_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

i.e. $\mathbf{z}_t = \mathbf{Z}_t \mathbf{s}_t + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$

The *state* parameters can now be computed by using the well-known solution of a Regression Analysis problem given below. Use it for **Updating**:

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_t = (\mathbf{Z}_t' \mathbf{V}_t^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_t)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_t' \mathbf{V}_t^{-1} \mathbf{z}_t \quad (14)$$

The result is algebraically equivalent to use of the Kalman Recursions but not numerically. For the balloon tracking problem with a large number sensors with slipping calibration the matrix to be inverted in equations (6) or (11) is larger than that in formula (14).

These insertions concluded the specification of the Fast Kalman Filter (FKFTM) algorithm for calibrating the upper-air wind tracking system. Another application would be the Global Observing System of the World Weather Watch. Here, the vector y_k contains various observed inconsistencies and systematic errors of weather reports (e.g. mean day-night differences of pressure values which should be about zero) from a radiosonde system k or from a homogeneous cluster k of radiosonde stations of a country (Lange, 1988a/b). The calibration drift vector b_k will then tell us what is wrong and to what extent. The calibration drift vector c refers to errors of a global nature or which are more or less common to all observing systems (e.g. biases in satellite radiances and in their vertical weighting functions or some atmospheric tide effects).

For all these large multiple sensor systems their design matrices H typically are sparse. Thus, one can usually perform in one way or another the following sort of

Partitioning:

$$s_t = \begin{bmatrix} b_{t,1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{t,K} \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} \quad y_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t,1} \\ y_{t,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t,K} \end{bmatrix} \quad H_t = \begin{bmatrix} X_{t,1} & & & G_{t,1} \\ & X_{t,2} & & G_{t,2} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & X_{t,K} & G_{t,K} \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & A_K & \\ & & & A_C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and,} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & B_K & \\ & & & B_C \end{bmatrix}$$

- where c_t typically represents calibration parameters at time t
- $b_{t,k}$ all other state parameters in the time and/or space volume
- A state transition matrix (bock-diagonal) at time t
- B matrix (bock-diagonal) for state-independent effects u_t at time t .

Consequently, two (or three) types of gigantic Regression Analysis problems

$$Z_t = Z_t S_t + e_t \quad (18)$$

were faced as follows:

Augmented model for a space volume case: see also equations (15) and (16), e.g. for the data of an entire windtracking experiment with K consecutive balloon positions:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{t,1} \\ A_1 \hat{b}_{t-1,1} + B_1 u_{b_{t-1,1}} \\ \hline y_{t,2} \\ A_2 \hat{b}_{t-1,2} + B_2 u_{b_{t-1,2}} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline y_{t,K} \\ A_K \hat{b}_{t-1,K} + B_K u_{b_{t-1,K}} \\ \hline A_c \hat{c}_{t-1} + B_c u_{c_{t-1}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{t,1} & & & G_{t,1} \\ I & & & \\ \hline & X_{t,2} & & G_{t,2} \\ & I & & \\ \hline & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & X_{t,K} & G_{t,K} \\ & & & I & \\ \hline & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{t,1} \\ b_{t,2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{t,K} \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_{t,1} \\ A_1 (\hat{b}_{t-1,1} - b_{t-1,1}) - a_{b_{t,1}} \\ \hline e_{t,2} \\ A_2 (\hat{b}_{t-1,2} - b_{t-1,2}) - a_{b_{t,2}} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline e_{t,K} \\ A_K (\hat{b}_{t-1,K} - b_{t-1,K}) - a_{b_{t,K}} \\ \hline A_c (\hat{c}_{t-1} - c_{t-1}) - a_{c_t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Augmented Model for a moving time volume (e.g. for "whitening" an observed "innovations" sequence of residuals e_t over a moving sample of length L):

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ A \hat{s}_{t-1} + B u_{t-1} \\ \hline y_{t-1} \\ A \hat{s}_{t-2} + B u_{t-2} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline y_{t-L+1} \\ A \hat{s}_{t-L} + B u_{t-L} \\ \hline A \hat{C}_{t-1} + B u_{c_{t-1}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_t & & & F_t \\ I & & & \\ \hline & H_{t-1} & & F_{t-1} \\ & I & & \\ \hline & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & H_{t-L+1} & F_{t-L+1} \\ & & & I & \\ \hline & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_t \\ s_{t-1} \\ \vdots \\ s_{t-L+1} \\ C_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_t \\ A (\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_t \\ \hline e_{t-1} \\ A (\hat{s}_{t-2} - s_{t-2}) - a_{t-1} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline e_{t-L+1} \\ A (\hat{s}_{t-L} - s_{t-L}) - a_{t-L+1} \\ \hline A (\hat{C}_{t-1} - C_{t-1}) - a_{c_t} \end{bmatrix}$$

Please observe that the matrix formula may take a "nested" Block-Angular structure. Fast semi-analytical solutions based on

Updating:
$$\hat{S}_t = \{Z_t' V_t^{-1} Z_t\}^{-1} Z_t' V_t^{-1} Z_t \tag{19}$$

for all these three cases were published in PCT/FI90/00122 (Lange, 1990), WIPO, Geneva, Switzerland.

The Fast Kalman Filter (FKF^m) formulae for the recursion step at any timepoint t were as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{s}_{t-l} &= \left\{ \mathbf{X}_{t-l}' \mathbf{V}_{t-l}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{t-l} \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{t-l}' \mathbf{V}_{t-l}^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{t-l} - \mathbf{G}_{t-l} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_t) \quad \text{for } l=0,1,2,\dots,L-1 \\ \hat{\mathbf{c}}_t &= \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^L \mathbf{G}_{t-l}' \mathbf{R}_{t-l} \mathbf{G}_{t-l} \right\}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^L \mathbf{G}_{t-l}' \mathbf{R}_{t-l} \mathbf{y}_{t-l}\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

where, for $l=0,1,2,\dots,L-1$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{t-l} = \mathbf{V}_{t-l}^{-1} \left\{ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}_{t-l} \left\{ \mathbf{X}_{t-l}' \mathbf{V}_{t-l}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{t-l} \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{t-l}' \mathbf{V}_{t-l}^{-1} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{t-l} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{e}_{t-l}) & \\ & \text{Cov}\{A(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-l-1} - \mathbf{s}_{t-l-1}) - \mathbf{a}_{t-l}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{t-l} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{t-l} \\ A\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{t-l-1} + B\mathbf{u}_{t-l-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{t-l} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{t-l} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{t-l} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{t-l} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

and, i.e. for $l=L$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{t-L} = \mathbf{V}_{t-L}^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{t-L} = \text{Cov}\{A(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{c}_{t-1}) - \mathbf{a}_{c_t}\}$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{t-L} = A\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{t-1} + B\mathbf{u}_{c_{t-1}}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{t-L} = \mathbf{I}.$$

A major R & D project was initiated in 1988 which led to the start of cooperation between ECMWF and Meteo-France for the coding of a dynamical atmospheric model, an optimal interpolation, a variational data assimilation and a Kalman Filter (FK), all in the same framework. The project is called IFS (Integrated Forecasting System), see Jean-Noel Thepaut and Philippe Courtier (1991): "Four-dimensional variational data assimilation using the adjoint of a multilevel primitive-equation model", Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, Volume 117, pp. 1225-1254.

Similar Kalman Filter (FK) studies have recently been reported also by Roger Daley (1992): "The Lagged Innovation Covariance: A Performance Diagnostic for Atmospheric Data Assimilation", Monthly Weather Review of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 120, pp. 178-196, and Stephen E. Cohn and David F. Parrish (1991): "The Behavior of Forecast Error Covariances for a Kalman Filter in Two Dimensions", Monthly Weather Review of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 119, pp. 1757-1785. Unfortunately, the ideal Kalman Filter systems described in the above reports have been out of reach at the present time. Dr. T. Gal-Chen of School of Meteorology, University of Oklahoma, reported in May 1988: "There is hope that the developments of massively parallel super computers (e.g., 1000 desktop CRAYs working in tandem) could result in algorithms much closer to optimal...", see "Report of the Critical Review Panel - Lower Tropospheric Profiling Symposium: Needs and Technologies", Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 71, No. 5, May 1990, page 684.

There exists a need for exploiting the principles of the Fast Kalman Filtering (FKFTM) method for a broad technical field (broader than just calibrating a sensor system in some narrow sense of word "calibration") with equal or better computational speed, reliability, accuracy, and cost benefits than other Kalman Filtering methods can do.

Summary of the Invention

These needs are substantially met by provision of the generalized Fast Kalman Filtering (FKF^m) method for calibrating and adjusting the sensors and various model parameters of a dynamical system in real-time or in near real-time as described in this specification. Through the use of this method, the computation results include the forecast error covariances that are absolute necessary for warning, decision making and control purposes.

Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention

Prior to explaining the invention, it will be helpful to first understand prior art Kalman Filter (FK) theory exploited in the current experimental Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) systems. As previously, they make use of equation (1):

$$\text{Measurement Equation: } y_t = H_t s_t + e_t \quad \dots(\text{linearized regression})$$

where state vector s_t describes the state of the atmosphere at timepoint t . Now, s_t usually represents all gridpoint values of atmospheric variables e.g. the geopotential heights of a number of different pressure levels.

The dynamics of the atmosphere is governed by a well-known set of partial differential equations ("primitive" equations). Making use of the so-called adjoint operator of the model the following linear expression of equation (2) is obtained for the time evolution of the atmosphere at each time step:

$$\text{State Equation: } s_t = A s_{t-1} + B u_{t-1} + a_t \quad \dots(\text{the discretized dyn-stoch model})$$

The four-dimensional data assimilation results (\hat{s}_t) and the NWP forecasts (\tilde{s}_t), respectively, are obtained from the Kalman Filter system as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}_t &= \tilde{s}_t + K_t (y_t - H_t \tilde{s}_t) \\ \tilde{s}_t &= A \hat{s}_{t-1} + B u_{t-1} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_t &= \text{Cov}(\tilde{s}_t) = A \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_{t-1}) A' + Q_t && \dots(\text{prediction accuracy}) \\
 Q_t &= \text{Cov}(a_t) = E a_t a_t' && \dots(\text{system noise}) \\
 R_t &= \text{Cov}(e_t) = E e_t e_t' && \dots(\text{measurement noise})
 \end{aligned}$$

and the crucial **Updating** computations are based on the following Kalman Recursion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_t &= P_t H_t' (H_t P_t H_t' + R_t)^{-1} && \dots(\text{Kalman Gain matrix}) \\
 \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t) &= P_t - K_t H_t P_t && \dots(\text{estimation accuracy}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The matrix inversion needed here for the computation of the Kalman Gain matrix is exceedingly difficult for any realistic NWP system because the data assimilation system must be able to digest several ten thousand data elements at a time.

The method of the invention will now be described. We start with the **Augmented Model** from equation (13):

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ A\hat{s}_{t-1} + Bu_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_t \\ I \end{bmatrix} s_t + \begin{bmatrix} e_t \\ A(\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_t \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e.
$$z_t = Z_t s_t + \varepsilon_t$$

For the four-dimensional data assimilation the following two equations are obtained for its **Updating**:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{s}_t &= (Z_t' V_t^{-1} Z_t)^{-1} Z_t' V_t^{-1} z_t && \dots(\text{optimal estimation, by Gauss - Markov}) \\
 &= \{H_t' R_t^{-1} H_t + P_t^{-1}\}^{-1} (H_t' R_t^{-1} y_t + P_t^{-1} \tilde{s}_t) && (22)
 \end{aligned}$$

or,
$$= \tilde{s}_t + K_t (y_t - H_t \tilde{s}_t) \dots(\text{alternatively})$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t) &= E(\hat{s}_t - s_t)(\hat{s}_t - s_t)' = (Z_t' V_t^{-1} Z_t)^{-1} && (23) \\
 &= \{H_t' R_t^{-1} H_t + P_t^{-1}\}^{-1} && \dots(\text{estimation accuracy})
 \end{aligned}$$

where, as previously,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{s}_t &= A \hat{s}_{t-1} + B u_{t-1} && \dots(\text{NWP "forecasting"}) \\ P_t &= \text{Cov}(\tilde{s}_t) = A \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_{t-1}) A' + Q_t && (24)\end{aligned}$$

but instead of

$$K_t = P_t H_t' (H_t P_t H_t' + R_t)^{-1} \quad \dots(\text{Kalman Gain matrix})$$

$$\text{we take } K_t = \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t) H_t' R_t^{-1} \quad (25)$$

The Augmented Model approach is superior to the use of the Kalman Recursion formulae for a large vector of input data y_t because the computation of the Kalman Gain matrix K_t required a huge matrix inversion when $\text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t)$ was unknown. Both methods are algebraically and statistically equivalent but certainly not numerically.

Unfortunately, the Augmented Model formulae above may still become much too difficult to handle numerically if the number of the *state* parameters is overly large. This actually happens, firstly, if *state* vector s_t consists of enough gridpoint data for a realistic representation of the atmosphere. A spectral decomposition (or empirical orthogonal functions) could be attempted here for the purpose of decreasing the number of state parameters. Secondly, there are many other *state* parameters that must be included in the state vector for a realistic NWP system. These are first of all related to systematic (calibration) errors of observing systems as well as to the so-called physical parameterization schemes of small scale atmospheric processes.

Fortunately, all these problems are overcome by using the method of *decoupling states* through exploitation of the general Fast Kalman Filtering (FKFTM) method. For the large observing systems of the atmosphere their design matrices H typically are sparse. Thus, one can perform the following

$$\text{Partitioning: } s_t = \begin{bmatrix} b_{t,1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{t,K} \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} \quad y_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t,1} \\ y_{t,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t,K} \end{bmatrix} \quad H_t = \begin{bmatrix} X_{t,1} & & & G_{t,1} \\ & X_{t,2} & & G_{t,2} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & X_{t,K} & G_{t,K} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_K \\ A_c \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and,} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_K \\ B_c \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

where c_t typically represents "calibration" parameters at time t
 $b_{t,k}$ values of atmospheric parameters at grid point k ($k=1, \dots, K$)
 A state transition matrix at time t (submatrices A_1, \dots, A_K, A_c)
 B for state-independent effects (submatrices B_1, \dots, B_K, B_c).

Consequently, the following gigantic Regression Analysis problem is faced:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t,1} \\ A_1 \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_1 u_{t-1} \\ \hline \hat{y}_{t,2} \\ A_2 \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_2 u_{t-1} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline \hat{y}_{t,K} \\ A_K \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_K u_{t-1} \\ \hline A_c \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_c u_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{t,1} & \vdots & G_{t,1} \\ I & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & X_{t,2} & G_{t,2} \\ \vdots & I & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & X_{t,K} & G_{t,K} \\ \vdots & I & \vdots \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{t,1} \\ b_{t,2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{t,K} \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_{t,1} \\ A_1 (\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_{b_{t,1}} \\ \hline e_{t,2} \\ A_2 (\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_{b_{t,2}} \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline e_{t,K} \\ A_K (\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_{b_{t,K}} \\ \hline A_c (\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_{c_t} \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

The Fast Kalman Filter (FKF^m) formulae for the recursion step at any timepoint t are as follows:

$$\hat{b}_{t,k} = \{X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} X_{t,k}\}^{-1} X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} (y_{t,k} - G_{t,k} \hat{c}_t) \quad \text{for } k=1,2,\dots,K$$

$$\hat{c}_t = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K G'_{t,k} R_{t,k} G_{t,k} \right\}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^K G'_{t,k} R_{t,k} y_{t,k} \quad (28)$$

where, for $k=1,2,\dots,K$,

$$R_{t,k} = V^{-1}_{t,k} \left\{ I - X_{t,k} \{X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} X_{t,k}\}^{-1} X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} \right\}$$

$$V_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cov}(e_{t,k}) \\ \hline \text{Cov}\{A_k (\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_{b_{t,k}}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t,k} \\ A_k \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_k u_{t-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{t,k} \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{t,k} \end{bmatrix}$$

and, i.e. for $k=0$,

$$R_{t,0} = V_{t,0}^{-1}$$

$$V_{t,0} = \text{Cov}\{A_c(\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_c\}$$

$$y_{t,0} = A_c \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_c u_{t-1}$$

$$G_{t,0} = I$$

The data assimilation accuracies are obtained from equation (23) as follows:

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t) = \text{Cov}(\hat{b}_{t,1}, \dots, \hat{b}_{t,K}, \hat{c}_t) \tag{29}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} C_1 + D_1 SD'_1 & D_1 SD'_2 & D_1 SD'_K & -D_1 S \\ D_2 SD'_1 & C_2 + D_2 SD'_2 & D_2 SD'_K & -D_2 S \\ D_K SD'_1 & D_K SD'_2 & C_K + D_K SD'_K & -D_K S \\ -SD'_1 & -SD'_2 & -SD'_K & S \end{vmatrix}$$

where $C_k = \{X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} X_{t,k}\}^{-1}$ for $k=1,2,\dots,K$

$$D_k = \{X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} X_{t,k}\}^{-1} X'_{t,k} V^{-1} G_{t,k} \text{ for } k=1,2,\dots,K$$

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K G'_{t,k} R_{t,k} G_{t,k} \right\}^{-1}$$

Through these semi-analytical means all the matrices to be inverted for the solution of gigantic Regression Analysis models of type shown in equation (27) are kept reasonably small and, especially, for the preferred embodiment of the invention for an operational Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) model and four-dimensional data assimilation system that is much too large to be specified here. Obviously, the error variances and covariances of the forecasts and the data assimilation results are derived using equations (24) and (29), respectively.

The generalized Fast Kalman Filtering (FKFTM) formulae given in equations (28) and (29) are pursuant to the invented method.

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that many variations could be practiced with respect to the above described invention without departing from the spirit of the invention. Therefore, it should be understood that the scope of the invention should not be considered as limited to the specific embodiment described, except in so far as the claims may specifically include such limitations.

References

- (1) Kalman, R. E. (1960): "A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems". Trans. ASME J. of Basic Eng. 82:35-45.
- (2) Lange, A. A. (1982): "Multipath propagation of VLF Omega signals". IEEE PLANS '82 - Position Location and Navigation Symposium Record, December 1982, 302-309.
- (3) Lange, A. A. (1984): "Integration, calibration and intercomparison of windfinding devices". WMO Instruments and Observing Methods Report No. 15.
- (4) Lange, A. A. (1988a): "A high-pass filter for optimum calibration of observing systems with applications". Simulation and Optimization of Large Systems, edited by A. J. Osiadacz, Oxford University Press/Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988, 311-327.
- (5) Lange, A. A. (1988b): "Determination of the radiosonde biases by using satellite radiance measurements". WMO Instruments and Observing Methods Report No. 33, 201-206.
- (6) Lange, A. A. (1990): "Apparatus and method for calibrating a sensor system". International Application Published under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), World Intellectual Property Organization, International Bureau, WO 90/13794, PCT/FI90/00122, 15 November 1990.

CLAIMS

1. A method for calibrating readings of a multiple sensor system, the sensors providing output signals in response to external events, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) providing data base means for storing information on:
 - a plurality of test point sensor output signal values for some of said sensors and a plurality of values for said external events corresponding to said test point sensor output values wherein uncalibrated sensors can be added;
 - said calibrated sensor readings or, alternatively, said readings accompanied with their calibration parameters and values for said external events corresponding to a situation; and,
 - controls of or changes in, if any, said sensors or said external events corresponding to a new situation;
- b) providing logic means for accessing said calibrated readings or, alternatively, said readings accompanied with their calibration parameters, wherein said logic means may have a two-way communications link to said data base means;
- c) providing said sensor output signals from said sensors to said logic means;
- d) providing information, if any, on said controls or changes to said data base means;
- e) updating by a Kalman recursion wherein the improvement comprises the use of an algorithm obtained from the Fast Kalman Filter (FKF) formulae (28) of the description, in said logic means (1), values of both said external events and said calibration parameters corresponding to said new situation; and,
- f) providing updated values of said calibrated readings and/or said values of said external events, as desired.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein said logic means (1) operates in a decentralized or cascaded fashion but exploits in one way or another Kalman filtering wherein the improvement comprises the use of an algorithm obtained from the Fast Kalman Filter (FKF) formulae (28) of the description.

3. The method of claim 1 including the step of:

a) adapting by using Kalman filtering wherein the improvement comprises the use of an algorithm obtained from the Fast Kalman Filter (FKF) formulae (28) of the description, in said logic means (1), said information on said controls of or changes in said sensors or said external events as far as their true magnitudes are unknown.

4. The method of claim 2 including the step of:

a) adapting by using Kalman filtering wherein the improvement comprises the use of an algorithm obtained from the Fast Kalman Filter (FKF) formulae (28) of the description, in said logic means (1), said information on said controls of or changes in said sensors or said external events as far as their true magnitudes are unknown.

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 29 September 1993 (29.09.93); original claims 1-4 replaced by amended claim 1 (3 pages)]

1. A method for optimal or suboptimal Fast Kalman Filtering (FKF) where the Measurement and State Equations, in linearized forms, are as follows:

$$y_t = H_t s_t + e_t \tag{1}$$

$$s_t = A s_{t-1} + B u_{t-1} + a_t \tag{2}$$

where at time t

- y_t = measurement vector of observed angles, distances, etc.
- H_t = observations' gain or design matrix
- s_t = state vector, calibration parameters included
- e_t = measurement error vector
- $R_t = \text{Cov}(e_t) = E e_t e_t'$ = covariance matrix of said errors
- u_t = external forcing or control vector
- a_t = system noise vector
- $Q_t = \text{Cov}(a_t) = E a_t a_t'$ = covariance matrix of said system noise
- A = state transition matrix which typically depends on time t
- B = gain matrix of external forcing or controls,

and where said vectors and matrices, due to physical or other structural relationships between their elements, can be partitioned as follows:

$$y_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t,1} \\ y_{t,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t,K} \end{bmatrix} \quad H_t = \begin{bmatrix} X_{t,1} & & & G_{t,1} \\ & X_{t,2} & & G_{t,2} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & X_{t,K} & G_{t,K} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and,} \tag{26}$$

$$s_t = \begin{bmatrix} b_{t,1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{t,K} \\ c_t \end{bmatrix} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{b1} \\ \vdots \\ A_{bK} \\ A_{cK} \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{b1} \\ \vdots \\ B_{bK} \\ B_{cK} \end{bmatrix} \quad u_t = \begin{bmatrix} u_{b,1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{b,K} \\ u_{c,t} \end{bmatrix} \quad a_t = \begin{bmatrix} a_{b,1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{b,K} \\ a_{c,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

where c_t = calibration (typically) parameters for a time/space volume
 $b_{t,k}$ = all other state parameters for said volume,

and,

$A_{b_1}, A_{b_2}, \dots, A_{b_K}$ and A_c = submatrices of said partitioned A
 $B_{b_1}, B_{b_2}, \dots, B_{b_K}$ and B_c = submatrices of said partitioned B,

wherein the improvement comprises the indicated new way of partitioning said state transition matrix A and/or said gain matrix B of said external forcing or said controls,

and where the Fast Kalman Filter (FKF) formulae for a recursion step are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}_{t,k} &= \left\{ X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} X_{t,k} \right\}^{-1} X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} (y_{t,k} - G_{t,k} \hat{c}_t) \quad \text{for } k=1,2,\dots,K \\ \hat{c}_t &= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K G'_{t,k} R_{t,k} G_{t,k} \right\}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^K G'_{t,k} R_{t,k} y_{t,k} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where, for $k=1,2,\dots,K$,

$$R_{t,k} = V^{-1}_{t,k} \left\{ I - X_{t,k} \left\{ X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} X_{t,k} \right\}^{-1} X'_{t,k} V^{-1}_{t,k} \right\}$$

$$V_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cov}(e_{t,k}) & \\ & \text{Cov}\{A_{b_k}(\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_{b,k}\} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t,k} \\ A_{b_k} \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_{b_k} u_{t-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{t,k} \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G_{t,k} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{t,k} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

and, for $k=0$,

$$R_{t,0} = V^{-1}_{t,0}$$

$$V_{t,0} = \text{Cov}\{A_c(\hat{s}_{t-1} - s_{t-1}) - a_{c,t}\}$$

$$y_{t,0} = A_c \hat{s}_{t-1} + B_c u_{t-1}$$

$$G_{t,0} = I$$

where $\hat{b}_{t,k}$ and \hat{c}_t are the optimal or suboptimal estimates (^) of said state parameters s_t and

wherein the improvement comprises the possibility to compute their error variances and covariances from:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_t) &= \text{Cov}(\hat{b}_{t,1}, \dots, \hat{b}_{t,K}, \hat{c}_t) & (29) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} C_1 + D_1 SD'_1 & D_1 SD'_2 & D_1 SD'_K & -D_1 S \\ D_2 SD'_1 & C_2 + D_2 SD'_2 & D_2 SD'_K & -D_2 S \\ D_K SD'_1 & D_K SD'_2 & C_K + D_K SD'_K & -D_K S \\ -SD'_1 & -SD'_2 & -SD'_K & S \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $C_k = \{X'_{t,k} V^{-1} X_{t,k}\}^{-1}$ for $k=1,2,\dots,K$

$D_k = \{X'_{t,k} V^{-1} X_{t,k}\}^{-1} X'_{t,k} V^{-1} G_{t,k}$ for $k=1,2,\dots,K$

$S = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^K G'_{t,k} R_{t,k} G_{t,k} \right\}^{-1}$

and where optimal or suboptimal predictions $\tilde{b}_{t,k}$ and \tilde{c}_t of said state parameters s_t can be obtained for prediction and process control purposes from:

$$\tilde{s}_t = A \hat{s}_{t-1} + B u_{t-1} \tag{21}$$

where their error variances and covariances P_t are computed:

$$P_t = \text{Cov}(\tilde{s}_t) = A \text{Cov}(\hat{s}_{t-1}) A' + Q_t \tag{24}$$

where the "˜"-notation indicates that said prediction (no observational data available) step takes place during a time interval (t,t-1).

STATEMENT UNDER ARTICLE 19

Amended Claim 1 replaces the original Claims 1-4 that appeared to be too ambiguous in specifying the invented generalization of the Fast Kalman Filtering (FKF) method of PCT/FI90/00122 (WO 90/13794, 15.11.90) for use in large dynamical systems that are governed by Partial Differential Equations (PDE). In spite of this improved formulation of the Amended Claims, the reader may still find it difficult to grasp the scope of this single Amended Claim 1 due to such complexities of the invention that actually belong to Prior Art. It is therefore understood that the description will include a documentation of a PC-programme that has specifically been prepared for the demonstration purposes without getting unnecessarily involved in the sophistication of large multiparametric dynamical systems.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/FI 93/00192

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC5: G01D 18/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC5: G01D, G01C, G01F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

DIALOG: CLAIMS, WPI

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO, A1, 9013794 (A.A.I. LANGE), 15 November 1990 (15.11.90), claim 1, and formulae 20 (page 11) ---	1
A	PROCEEDINGS OF THE IEEE 1990 PLANS-POSITION, LOCATION AND NAVIGATION SYMPOSIUM, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA 20-23 March 1990, see page 146-149. Lange: REAL-TIME OPTIMUM CALIBRATION OF LARGE SENSOR SYSTEMS BY KALMAN FILTERING ---	1
A	US, A, 4792737 (KENNETH W. GOFF ET AL), 20 December 1988 (20.12.88), abstract ---	1

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

12 August 1993

17 -08- 1993

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Swedish Patent Office
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Sven-Olof Wirlée
Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FI 93/00192

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US, A, 4847769 (PETER J. REEVE), 11 July 1989 (11.07.89), claims 1,6 --	1
A	US, A, 4893262 (PAUL R. KALATA), 9 January 1990 (09.01.90), claim 8, abstract --	1
A	US, A, 4347730 (MATTHEW J. FISHER ET AL), 7 Sept 1982 (07.09.82), claims 12-14 --	1
A	US, A, 4928256 (JAMES A. PARNELL ET AL), 22 May 1990 (22.05.90), column 2, line 37 - line 42, claims 17,34, abstract --	1
A	US, A, 4876854 (WILLIAM R. OWENS), 31 October 1989 (31.10.89), abstract -----	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
 Information on patent family members

02/07/93

International application No.
 PCT/FI 93/00192

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A1- 9013794	15/11/90	AU-A- 5548690 CA-A- 2051681 EP-A- 0470140	29/11/90 29/10/90 12/02/92
US-A- 4792737	20/12/88	NONE	
US-A- 4847769	11/07/89	CA-A- 1269740 DE-A- 3686213 EP-A, B- 0207989 SE-T3- 0207989	29/05/90 03/09/92 14/01/87
US-A- 4893262	09/01/90	DE-A- 3910028 JP-A- 2022703 DE-A, C- 3721186 JP-A- 63026539 US-A- 4775949	19/10/89 25/01/90 28/01/88 04/02/88 04/10/88
US-A- 4347730	07/09/82	CA-A- 1149201	05/07/83
US-A- 4928256	22/05/90	EP-A- 0333372	20/09/89
US-A- 4876854	31/10/89	NONE	